KL



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3337	
09/633,644	08/07/2000	Yu-Kung Hsiao	TSMC2000-085		
7:	590 05/29/2002				
George O Saile			EXAMINER		
20 McIntosh Drive Poughkeepsie, NY 12603			KANG, DONGHEE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811		
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							/V
			1	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Offic		ic Action Summary		09/633,644		Y.K.HSIAO ET AL	
				Examiner		Art Unit	
				Donghee Kang		2811	
Period fo		LING DATE of this commu	nication appea	ars on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence address	5
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING [nsions of time r SIX (6) MONT: period for repl period for repl re to reply with reply received to	O STATUTORY PERIOD IN COMMUNITY OF THIS COMMUNITY OF THIS COMMUNITY OF THIS FORM THE MAINTY OF THE M	IICATION. is of 37 CFR 1.136(imunication. (30) days, a reply witatutory period will by will, by statute, ca	a). In no event, howe thin the statutory min apply and will expire use the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) day: SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
1)🖂	Respons	ive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>06 <i>Ma</i></u>	rch 2002 .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action	on is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-fi	nal.		
3) Dispositi		s application is in condition accordance with the prace					erits is
· _			application				
, —		above claim(s) <u>16 and 17</u>	• •	awn from consi	deration.		
		is/are allowed.					
· <u> </u>	–	 '-15 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s)_	are subject to restri	ction and/or e	lection require	ment.		
Applicati	on Papers	•					
9) 🗌 -	The specifi	cation is objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
10) 🔲 🗆		g(s) filed on is/are			•		
441		may not request that any ob					
11)[]		sed drawing correction file	-			Ved by the Examiner.	
12\□ 1		ed, corrected drawings are re r declaration is objected to	•		ion.		
,		.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	o by the Exam	iiiici.			
		dgment is made of a clain	n for foreign n	riority under 35	HSC 8 110/5	\ (d) or (f)	
] Some * c) None of:	i loi loi eigil p	nonty under 55	0.5.C. § 119(a))-(a) or (i).	
ع)ر		tified copies of the priority	documents h	ave been recei	ivad		
		tified copies of the priority				on No	
	3. Cop	ies of the certified copies application from the Interrached detailed Office action	of the priority national Burea	documents ha au (PCT Rule 1	ve been receive 7.2(a)).	d in this National Stage	- 11° 1-14-
14) 🗌 A	cknowledg	ment is made of a claim	for domestic p	riority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appl	ication).
		anslation of the foreign la					
Attachment	t(s)						
2) Notice	e of Draftsper	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (F sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		5) 🔲	•	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-15) in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the field of search must necessarily cover both the method class/subclass and products class 257/432 in addition to other related classes and subclasses to provide a complete and adequate search. This is not found persuasive.

A restricition requirement between one set of product claims and a set of process claims was issued in the Office Action of Paper No.4, mailed on January 23, 2002. "section 121 permits a restriction for "independent and distinct invention," which the PTO construes to mean that the sets of claims must be drawn to separately patentable inventions.' A product and the process of making the product are "two independent, albeit related inventions," See In re Taylor, 149 USPQ 615, 617 (CCPA 1966). "When two sets of claims filed in the same application are patentably distinct or represent independent invention, the examiner is to issue a restriction requirement." See In re Berg, 46 USPQ2d 1226, 1233 n.10 (Fed.Cir 1998).

The examiner, in issuing a restriction requirement, must demonstrate "one way distinctiveness." Applied Materials Inc. at 1492. As stated within the restriction requirement, "inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be made by another and materially different process.". In this application, the examiner restricted the product claims from the process claims on the grounds that "the product as claimed can be made by another and materially

Art Unit: 2811

different process such as a process wherein depositing one thick planarizing layer instead of two planarizing layers (second & third).

In addition to one way distinctiveness, the examiner must show "why it would be a burden to examine both sets of claims." Applied Materials Ins. at 1492. "A serious burden on the examiner may be prima facie shown it the examiner shows by appropriate explanation either separate classification, separate status in the art, or a different field of search." MPEP 803. An explanation was provided in the restriction requirement. Specifically, in addition to being distinct, the examiner indicated that restriction is proper because the product claims and the process claims "have acquired a separate status in the art."

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Acknowledgment is made of receipt of applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (PTO-1449) filed November 13, 2000.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: the disclosure does not mention numeral number "11".

A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2811

Claim R j ctions - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Referring to claim 1: the specification does not support the limitations "forming upon the optical spacer and planarizing layer a first patterned color filter layer.."".

Referring to claim 6: the specification does not support the limitation "the overcoat is comprised of a patterned multiplayer dielectric stack..".

Referring to claim 10: the specification does not support the limitation "the overcoat layer is exposed to ultraviolet or other irradiation to photopolymerize the material comprising the overcoat....".

Referring to claim 11: the specification does not support the limitation "the overcoat layer is comprised of a negative type photoresist to serve as thermal barrier.."

Referring to claim 12: the specification does not support the limitation "ultraviolet or other irradiation is used...".

Regarding claim 14: the specification does not support the limitation "ultraviolet or other irradiation, including thermal processes, further polymerize the microlens layer…".

Art Unit: 2811

Claims 2-5, 7-9, 13, & 15 are rejected since each includes the limitations of independent claim 1.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1 & 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the optical spacer and planarizing layer" in line 11.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the photoresist material" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-3 & 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otsuka (US 6,040,591) in view of Baek (US 6,127,668).

Otsuka teaches a microelectronic method of fabricating a semiconductor color imaging device:

a silicon substrate (11) having a matrix of photodiode elements (CCD) formed thereon; forming a metal photoshield layer (13), wherein the metal photoshield elements are periodically spaced to cover the areas between the photodiode elements;

Art Unit: 2811

forming upon the patterned metal photoshield layer a first optically transparent planarizing encapsulant layer; forming upon the first optically transparent planarizing encapsulant layer a first color filter layer registered with a subset of the photodioded elements; forming upon the first color filter a second planarizing layer; forming upon the second planarizing layer a patterned microlens layer; and forming upon the microlens (hemispherical convex) layer a transmittance overcoat layer with a planar top surface. See Fig.5 & Col.3, line 56 – Col.4, line 15.

Otsuka does not teach depositing a passivation coating encapsulating a metal photoshield layer. However Baek teaches depositing a passivation coating (43) encapsulating a metal photoshield layer (42). See Fig.3c & Col.3, line 61 – Col.4, line 7. Thus it would have been obvious in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Baek into the Otsuka's device in order to protect the metal phtoshield layer.

Neither Otsuka nor Baek teaches forming upon the second planarizing layer a third planarizing spacer layer. Sano et al teaches forming the third planarizing layer on the second planarizing layer. See Fig.1 & Col.1, line 39 – Col.9, line 35. Therefore it would have been obvious in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Sano with Otsuka as modified by Baek in order to optimize focal length microlenz.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2811

Aoki et al. (US 5,479,049 A)

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghee Kang whose telephone number is 703-305-9147. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 703-308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Donghee Kang, Ph.D. May 17, 2002

Herr Lohe

Page 7